IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

ABELLANOSA, JOANNA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No. 05-0010

By_

L&T INTERNATIONAL CORP.,

Defendant.

SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, JOANNA B. ABELLANOSA, hereby declare as follows:

- I am over the age of eighteen years old, have personal knowledge of the 1. facts set forth herein, am competent to testify as to these facts if called as a witness in a court of law, and if called would testify as stated herein.
- 2. On or about February, 2004, I went to L&T to apply for an advertised job vacancy for hand packers. After filling-up and turning in the application form, I together with several other applicants were told to come back to L&T on a certain date, which I did.
- When I came back to L&T, I was interviewed by Cory Quing. Thereafter, 3. I was told to wait for another call and come back for another round of interview with Amy Tse. During the interview with Amy Tse, I and several others were told that in Packing we would be working as a group, and as a group, one person's mistake is everybody's mistake; so everybody has to help each other.

P.O. Box 500917 ~ Saipan CM-MP 96950 ~ ITEL. NO. (670) 234-6860/7743 ~ FAX: 234-7753 Hill Law Offices

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEDICAL FEES and Health Certificate Fee)

- A few days later, I got a call from L&T asking me to report to HR office. 4. When I arrived at HR I met with Baby Lopez, who identified herself as an HR staff person. I requested Baby Lopez if I could be allowed to complete my contract with my then employer which was about to expire. Baby Lopez denied my request telling me to process my consensual transfer to L&T immediately as I had to start working for L&T. Baby then gave me and asked me to complete the Consensual Transfer documents and have my employer to complete and sign them, which I did. I gave the completed consensual transfer documents to Baby Lopez at the HR office. As my health clearance had not yet expired, she told me that L&T would use my health certificate from my current employer.
- After about two months of working at L&T, I inquired from Baby Lopez about my entry permit. She replied that DOL had not yet released it. When I told her that my current health certificate would expire on May 14, 2004, Baby Lopez told me that I would need to get a new medical examination and health certificate before my then pending employment application/documentation with DOL could be and processed and released. Baby told me to go to Marianas Medical Center in San Antonio to get the examination. She said that is the one L&T uses and L&T applicants get a cheaper rate, only \$35.00. I knew that other clinics charge between \$45.00 to \$55.00 for medical examination. I asked her how the medical examination and health certificate are going to be paid for. Baby said you pay for it now and on your renewal L&T will pay. I understood this to mean that if I did my job and not violate

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Marianas Medical Center for medical examination. Since I was not familiar with my LIIDS number, Marianas Medical Center requested by phone to L&T for the same. Within 30 minutes, L&T faxed back to Marianas Medical Center the requested information.
- 7. When I reported for work at L&T in the afternoon of the same day, I, together with the other workers, were terminated. I could not believe it at first that I was already terminated because earlier that day I had just my medical examination and the clinic and I even had some communication regarding required information. I felt being fooled by L&T into undergoing medical examination and incurring expenses in the process when it already knew by that time that I would be terminated.
- 8. I was not paid nor reimbursed by L&T for my services and time spent getting the medical examination and health certificate.

II. CONTRACT SIGNING

9. My first non-resident contract was in 1993. Basically, annually since then, each year, my employers used and had me sign a standard form labor contract provided by DOL. I became familiar with the basic terms of the DOL standard form contract. A copy of such standard form contract is attached as Exhibit "2" to Plaintiffs' Verified/Amended Opposition.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10. In 2004, when L&T HR staff Baby Lopez handed me their contract form, with only the signature page showing, and insisting that I sign, I had no reason to believe it was not the standard DOL form contract. Prior to signing this L&T contract form and at the time it was presented to me in the HR for signing, I was not given an opportunity to read the contract before signing it. When it was presented to me in the HR office, Baby Lopez just pushed the document through the counter-window with the pages turned back, showing only the signature page, and pointed to where I was to sign it, and said sign, which I did without reading it. I asked Baby "Can I read it first? Baby Lopez replied "no, we need to expedite for DOL processing and we need manpower." The HR staff was rushing me and other applicants by insisting that I and the other applicants I saw present, hurry up and quickly sign, without delaying the document processing. From the mood and way the HR staff was acting, I was made fearful that if I didn't just sign the signature page as instructed, I would lose the job opportunity especially since none of the other applicants I saw there held up the line by or took time to read the contract document. I observed the HR staff acting the same way with other workers who signed before and after my turn. Neither Baby Lopez, nor any one else, ever showed me my contract document until the time and date they asked me (us) to sign at HR. I was never given a copy of the L&T contract document I signed before my termination on or about May 13, 2004. After my termination I was surprised when I later learned of some of the conditions and terms in L&T's self-styled contract. Had I known that the L&T's selfstyled contract contained terms restricting me from being employed with other competing companies in Saipan and allowing L&T to terminate me at any time

3

4

5 б

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

P.O. Box 500917 ~ Saipan CM-MP 96950 ~ TEL. NO. (670) 234-6860/7743 ~ FAX: 234-7753

Hill Law Offices

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as a reduction in force, I would not have agreed to it or signed it.

III. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

11. There was no individualized measurement or testing to determine my or each Packer's individual performance or production. The only production measurement or test was done by counting the output (production) from each of the different lines of Packers. There was really no way for me as an individual packer to control or show an increase in the number of products because I was just one individual on the line with many others. In the packing section our work was performed by groups of workers on so-called This grouping was even explained to me by Amy Tse during the interview. The packages or items we were assigned to work on often varied from day to day. Our Head Supervisor in the packing section was Cao, Li Qun, who is Chinese. When I and other Filipino workers tried to ask her questions regarding our work she could not answer nor explain because she does not speak english fluently. (See Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' First Set of Request for Interrogatories No. 49a).

IV. TERMINATION

12. I was employed and worked for L&T International Corporation as a hand packer, from on or about March, 2004 to May 13, 2004, when I and other workers in the hand packing section were summoned by the calling of our individual names over the public address system, to report to the Human Resources (HR) office. I believe and understand we were called in two batches,

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one about 3:00 p.m. and one about 5:00 p.m. (See Deposition of Jack Torres, page 97, lines 14-17).

- 13. I did not know why we were being called to come to HR. I thought that we were being called regarding ATM Cards that L&T had previously been told us to make it easier and more convenient for (us) workers to access and get our anticipated bi-weekly wage payments without having to stand in line waiting for and trying to cash payroll checks. I was made more assured of my continued employment and anticipated pay check by L&T having asked me and other workers to set up these ATM accounts to facilitate our anticipated payroll check payments.
- 14. As we arrived at the designated meeting room, I observed other workers, and Corazon Quing, Malou Ernest and Rhodora Bernabe, known to us as HR staff, were present at the May 13, 2004 meeting.
- 15. I did not see or hear Corazon Quing read or reading from any document or the so-called "communication plan" as described and stated in Exhibit "A" attached to the Declaration of Corazon Quing.
- 16. More specifically, I (we) were not told as stated by Corazon Quing that we the workers, had the right to appeal our termination to the "Legal Department" of L&T or to any one else.
- 17. Neither Malou Ernest, Corazon Quing nor any one else at the May 13, 2004 meeting, informed us, that the purpose of the so-called second check was "to cover for 10 days pay in lieu of notice," as stated in paragraph 7, Declaration of Corazon Quing. Additionally, L&T's own RIF policy required, as proposed RIF workers, that I (we) be given "written notice of separation at least 15 days prior to the effective date of separation, or severance pay in lieu

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of notice." (See Ex. "D" Deposition of Torres, and page 88 lines 6-8 and lines 20-24).

- 18. It was my honest belief that I and my co-workers were terminated on May 13, 2004 and that the termination was effective immediately on and from May 13, 2004, because I (we) were told by HR staff at the May 13, 2004 meeting that today (May 13, 2004) was our last day of employment and they demanded that we give up and turn in our company ID cards which were required and needed for company employees to freely enter company premises; and more importantly, our I.D.s were swipe-cards for the time-clocks so we could not clock in or out without them, in addition to being required to "turn over any and all company properties in your possession... on or before May 13, 2004" as stated in the Notice of Termination. (See Ex. "D," Defendant's Memorandum).
- 19. As a result I believed and felt that I was terminated and forced to stop working on May 13, 2004, the same date that the Notice of Termination (dated May 12, 2004) was given to me. Hence, I was not given the required prior notice of termination and/or of the RIF.
- 20. I and the other plaintiffs worked a set work schedule and shift, and worked Monday through Saturday, seven (7) hours a day, six (6) days a week, for a total of forty-two (42) hours each work week, which included two (2) hour overtime each work week while employed at L&T.

V. EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

21. When L&T terminated my employment, it was nightmare. I became very emotionally upset and disturbed as a result of the termination of my employment at L&T. The way L&T broke the news of termination to us, not individually or privately, but *en masse* in front of all the other employees, resulted in wailing, crying and shouting and pandemonium among the workers present; I and the other workers present were crying and hugging each other and trying to console one another. I was shocked, in a stupor, mortified and dumbfounded. The termination left me physically and emotionally drained from the severe emotional distress caused thereby.

- 23. I experienced dizziness, fear, headache and body ache, sleeplessness and nausea. I worried and could not stop thinking of the loss of work and livelihood. I could not sleep during the first few weeks after the firing. Even now I can not sleep soundly worrying and thinking about how I am going to feed and provide for my kids.
- 24. My emotional distress was compounded whenever I remembered Malou Ernest challenged us to go to labor and complain. She assured us, with an air of arrogance, that Labor cannot do anything about our complaint since L&T already had an understanding with DOL. When I inquired with DOL regarding my documents, I was told by labor personnel that he could not give me any information about my documents and I had just to wait for my plane ticket back to my place of origin. Upon hearing this, my emotional distress was exacerbated since my kids in Saipan are still in their tender years and I do not want to leave them behind.
- 25. When I was brought to the hospital for emergency treatment, the doctor was

40 mm/g

not able to determine any specific and relevant medical findings.

26. I noticed and felt that I am not the same person before and after the loss of my job at L&T.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 28th day of September, 2006.